

All comments are documented in the *Final Public Meeting and Outreach Summary Report* dated April 21, 2004.

## 6.2 Public and Agency Coordination

Citizen and agency working groups were established to meet and receive updates on design and environmental issues and provide input. This section presents an overview of these committees and working groups.

### *Citizens Subcommittee*

A Doyle Drive Subcommittee of the Authority's Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) was established as a primary component of the public involvement process for the Doyle Drive Project. The Subcommittee meets periodically and provides input on a wide range of issues pertaining to Doyle Drive. Prior to the release of the DEIS/R, there were 17 Subcommittee meetings from March 2000 to November 2005, including a bus/walking tour of the project area with the Subcommittee and Agency Working Group. This was followed by a workshop on the design alternatives. Summaries and agendas from these meetings are posted on the Doyle Drive website. In advance of each Subcommittee meeting, informational packets with an agenda and other project materials are mailed to members of the Subcommittee, the Agency Working Group, the Authority's Citizen Advisory Committee, and a group of 83 interested parties before each meeting. The Subcommittee meeting agenda is also posted at the Authority's office. **Exhibit 6-1**, on the following page, presents a listing of the interest groups invited to participate in the CAC Subcommittee.

Prior to the release of the DEIS/R, topics considered by the Subcommittee have included the project purpose and need, screening of alternatives, refinement of alternatives, design and aesthetic considerations, traffic, environmental impacts, and neighborhood issues.

### *Agency Working Group (Executive Committee)*

The Authority established a public Agency Working Group, also known as the Executive Committee, to provide ongoing input on project development, alternatives refinement, scope and approach to environmental studies, and engineering considerations. The Agency Working Group generally meets bi-monthly and had 39 meetings from March 2000 to September 2005, prior to the release of the DEIS/R. In addition, two separate bus tours of the project area were provided for the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs and the Federal Highway Administration. Presentations to various agency boards have been provided to groups such as the Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation District, the Golden Gate National Recreation Area Advisory Commission and the Presidio Trust.

**Exhibit 6-2** presents a listing of Agency Working Group members.

**Exhibit 6-1**  
**Invited Members: CAC Subcommittee**

- ▶ Citizens At-Large, San Francisco
- ▶ Cow Hollow Neighbors in Action
- ▶ Golden Gate National Recreation Area Advisory Commission
- ▶ Commuters, Marin County
- ▶ Marina Merchants Association
- ▶ Planning Association for the Richmond
- ▶ San Francisco Bicycle Coalition
- ▶ San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association
- ▶ Sierra Club
- ▶ Cow Hollow Association
- ▶ Fort Point & Presidio Historical Association
- ▶ Marina Civic Improvement and Property Owners Association
- ▶ Marina Neighborhood Association
- ▶ Neighborhood Association for Presidio Planning
- ▶ Presidio Residents and Tenants
- ▶ San Francisco County Transportation Authority, Citizens Advisory Committee
- ▶ San Francisco Tomorrow

**Exhibit 6-2**  
**Invited Members: Agency Working Group**

- ▶ Association of Bay Area Governments
- ▶ Bay Area Air Quality Management District
- ▶ California Department of Transportation, District 4
- ▶ Federal Highway Administration
- ▶ Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation District
- ▶ Golden Gate National Recreation Area/National Park Service
- ▶ Marin County, Department of Public Works
- ▶ Metropolitan Transportation Commission
- ▶ The Presidio Trust
- ▶ US Department of Veterans Affairs
- ▶ San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission
- ▶ San Francisco City and County, Department of Parking and Traffic
- ▶ San Francisco City and County, Planning Department
- ▶ San Francisco Recreation and Park Department
- ▶ San Francisco County Transportation Authority

### *Other Interested Parties*

In addition to the Agency Working Group, the project team held over 50 meetings with technical specialists from participating agencies to review environmental issues and provide input.

A number of other organizations, agencies, and individuals have been consulted about the proposed project. On April 19, 2001, letters were sent to 19 individuals and organizations concerned with the history and historic preservation of the Presidio. Consultation between agencies and the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) regarding this project has been ongoing since the first Doyle Drive Project meeting, which began with the development of the Area of Potential Effect (APE). The SHPO has participated in agency meetings to discuss and set the APE, as well as to advise on historic preservation issues for both archaeology and the built environment. In addition, representatives from the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) have visited the project site and taken an interest in its development.

## **6.3 Additional Public Outreach**

In addition to the formal committee and working group meetings, other public outreach efforts included:

### *Outreach Meetings*

The Doyle Drive project team has periodically met with small groups of citizens and with individual citizens to discuss project issues. In spring 2000, the project team held stakeholder interviews and meetings with area residents and neighborhood organizations to introduce the project and identify key issues. Additional stakeholder meetings were held in winter 2001 to discuss the preliminary project alternatives. Representatives of the project team attended neighborhood association meetings throughout the process to present Doyle Drive Project issues (including approximately ten meetings in Spring 2004) to gather input on the provisional decision to eliminate Alternatives 3 and 4, and include Alternative 5 for further studies.

### *Newsletters*

Two newsletters were published addressing the Doyle Drive Project status. The first edition was released in January 2001, and focused on the purpose of the Doyle Drive Project and the alternatives being evaluated at the time. The second newsletter, issued in July 2002, discussed the alternatives that were chosen to be evaluated for the DEIS/R and the opportunities for public comment. Both newsletters were sent to a mailing list of over 1,500 individuals including elected officials, community members, and representatives from county and city agencies.