

SOUTH ACCESS TO THE GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE

DOYLE DRIVE

APPENDIX H

Notices and Letters

Doyle Drive Project
Final Environmental Impact Statement/Report
and Final Section 4(f) Evaluation

creation of a wake turbulence hazard near exist; 6) the existence of the proposed tower may interfere with air-ground communications; 6) the location of the proposed tower presents a possible collision hazard by being near the existing Tower Island Take-Via-in-Check Point; and 7) proliferation of towers in the Point, Mackenzie area.

Meeting Procedures

(a) The meeting will be informal in nature and will be conducted by representatives of the FAA Alaskan Region.

(b) The meeting will be open to all persons on a space-available basis. Every effort will be made to provide a meeting site with sufficient seating capacity for the expected participation. There will be no admission fee nor other charge in attendance or participation.

(c) Any person wishing to make a presentation to the FAA Team will be asked to sign in and estimate the amount of time needed for such presentation. This will permit the Team to allocate an appropriate amount of time for each presenter. The Team may limit the time available for each presentation in order to accommodate all presenters. The meeting will not be adjourned until everyone on the list has had an opportunity to address the panel. The meeting may be adjourned at any time once all persons present have had the opportunity to speak.

(d) Any person who wishes to present a petition paper to the Team pertinent to the aeronautical impact of the tower may do so.

(e) Persons wishing to hand out pertinent position papers to the attendees should present two copies to the presiding officer and have sufficient additional copies available for all attendees.

(f) The meeting will not be formally recorded. However, informal tape recordings may be made of the presentations to ensure that each respondent's comments are noted accurately.

(g) An official verbatim transcript or minutes of the informal in-space meeting will not be made. However, a list of the attendees, written statements received from attendees during and after the meeting, and a digest of discussions during the meeting will be included in the aeronautical study file.

(h) Every reasonable effort will be made to hear each request for presentation consistent with a reasonable closing time for the meeting. Written materials may also be submitted to the Team, but no later than 7 days after the close of the meeting.

Agenda

- (a) Opening Remarks and Discussion of Meeting Procedures
- (b) Briefing on Tower Proposal
- (c) Public Presentations
- (d) Closing Comments

Issued in Anchorage, Alaska February 10, 2000.

Willis C. Nelson,

Manager, Air Traffic Division, Alaskan Region.

[E-R Doc. 00-0700 Filed 2-15-00; 6:45 am] BILLING CODE 47-00-12-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement: San Francisco City and County, California

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.
ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an environmental impact statement will be prepared for a proposed roadway improvement project in San Francisco, City and County, California.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: C. P. Bill Wang, Acting Team Leader, Project Delivery Team North, Federal Highway Administration, California Division, 9825 Ninth Street, Suite 400, Sacramento, California 95814-2724. Telephone: 916 445-6042.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, working with the California Department of Transportation and the San Francisco County Transportation Authority, will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) on a proposal to improve State Route 101 in the City and County of San Francisco, California. The proposed improvement would involve construction of a new roadway to replace the existing southern approach to the Golden Gate Bridge, between the Golden Gate Bridge Toll Plaza and Broadway Street for a distance of just over one mile. State Route 101 at this location is known as Doyle Drive.

The project is considered necessary to increase safety, to replace the existing elevated 57-year-old roadway to meet current seismic standards, to minimize the impacts of the highway and its traffic on the Presidio and the local communities, and improve access to the Presidio. Alternatives under consideration include (1) taking no action; (2) construct six-lane at-grade roadway on same alignment; and (3)

transportation system management improvements.

The project area is located within the Presidio of San Francisco, which is part of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area. The Presidio Trust and the National Park Service are land management agencies for the Presidio. The Presidio is a National Historic Landmark.

Letters describing this proposed action and soliciting comments will be sent to appropriate Federal, State, and local agencies and to private organizations and individuals that have previously expressed, or are known to have, an interest in this proposal. Three public scoping meetings will be held on the following dates, times and locations: (1) Friday, March 3, 2000 from 10:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. at 190 Van Ness, 20th Floor, San Francisco; (2) Tuesday, March 7, 2000 from 10:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. at the Golden Gate Club, The Presidio Building 118, 16th Street, San Francisco; and (3) Wednesday, March 15, 2000 from 5:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. at the Marin Center, 730 Hill Avenue at the Plazas, San Rafael, CA.

To ensure that the full range of issues and concerns related to this proposed action are addressed and all significant issues identified, comments and suggestions are invited from interested parties. Comments or suggestions concerning this proposed action and the EIS should be directed to FHWA at the address provided above.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 40.202, Highway Planning and Construction—The regulations implementing Executive Order 12072 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

Issued on February 11, 2000.

C. P. Bill Wang,

Acting Team Leader, Sacramento

[E-R Doc. 00-0607 Filed 2-11-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-12-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement: Erie and Genesee Counties, New York

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT), New York State Thruway Authority (NYSTA)
ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an environmental impact statement will be

Doyle Drive Environmental and Design Study

SCH Number: 2000032006

Type: NOP - Notice of Preparation

Project Description

The purpose of the Doyle Drive Environmental and Design Study Project is to improve traffic conditions and the Structural and seismic safety of the roadway. All alternatives will be evaluated for consistency with the San Francisco General Plan and the Final Presidio General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA).

Project Lead Agency

San Francisco, City and County of

Contact Information

Primary Contact:

Mr. Andrew Butler Nash
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
415-522-4803
100 Van Ness Avenue, 25th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

Project Location

County: San Francisco
City: San Francisco
Region:
Cross Streets: Doyle Drive, Marina Boulevard, Lyon Street, Richards Avenue

Parcel No:
Township:
Range:
Section:
Base:
Other Location Info:

Proximity To

Highways: Sr-1
Airports:
Railways:
Waterways:
Schools:
Land Use:

Development Type

Local Action

Project Issues

Reviewing Agencies (Agencies in **Bold Type** submitted comment letters to the State Clearinghouse)

Resources Agency; California Coastal Commission; Department of Conservation; Office of Historic Preservation; Department of Parks and Recreation; San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission; Department of Fish and Game, Region 3; **Native American Heritage Commission**; State Lands Commission; California Highway Patrol; Air Resources Board, Transportation Projects; **Department of Toxic Substances Control**; Regional Water Quality Control Board, Region 2

United States Department of the Interior



FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE



Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office
2800 Cottage Way, Room W-2605
Sacramento, California 95825

March 13, 2007

Document Number: 070313014638

Ynlanda Molette
Environmental Science Associates
225 Bush Street, Suite 1700
San Francisco, CA 94104

Subject: Species List for Doyle Drive DEIS/R - South Access to the Golden Gate Bridge

Dear: Ms. Molette

We are sending this official species list in response to your March 13, 2007 request for information about endangered and threatened species. The list covers the California counties and/or U.S. Geological Survey 7½ minute quad or quads you requested.

Our database was developed primarily to assist Federal agencies that are consulting with us. Therefore, our lists include all of the sensitive species that have been found in a certain area *and also ones that may be affected by projects in the area*. For example, a fish may be on the list for a quad if it lives somewhere downstream from that quad. Birds are included even if they only migrate through an area. In other words, we include all of the species we want people to consider when they do something that affects the environment.

Please read **Important Information About Your Species List** (below). It explains how we made the list and describes your responsibilities under the Endangered Species Act.

Our database is constantly updated as species are proposed, listed and delisted. If you address proposed and candidate species in your planning, this should not be a problem. However, we recommend that you get an updated list every 90 days. That would be June 11, 2007.

Please contact us if your project may affect endangered or threatened species or if you have any questions about the attached list or your responsibilities under the Endangered Species Act. A list of Endangered Species Program contacts can be found at www.fws.gov/sacramento/es/branches.htm.

Endangered Species Division

Federal Endangered and Threatened Species that Occur in or may be Affected by Projects in the Counties and/or U.S.G.S. 7 1/2 Minute Quads you requested

Document Number: 070313014638

Database Last Updated: March 5, 2007

Quad Lists

LISTED SPECIES

Invertebrates

- *Haliotes sorenseni*
 - white abalone (E) (NMFS)
- *Icaricia icarioides missionensis*
 - mission blue butterfly (E)
- *Incisalia mossii bayensis*
 - San Bruno elfin butterfly (E)

Fish

- *Acipenser medirostris*
 - green sturgeon (T) (NMFS)
- *Eucyclogobius newberryi*
 - tidewater goby (E)
- *Hypomesus transpacificus*
 - delta smelt (T)
- *Oncorhynchus kisutch*
 - coho salmon - central CA coast (E) (NMFS)
 - Critical habitat, coho salmon - central CA coast (X) (NMFS)
- *Oncorhynchus mykiss*
 - Central California Coastal steelhead (T) (NMFS)
 - Central Valley steelhead (T) (NMFS)
 - Critical habitat, Central California coastal steelhead (X) (NMFS)
 - Critical habitat, Central Valley steelhead (X) (NMFS)
- *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*
 - Central Valley spring-run chinook salmon (T) (NMFS)
 - Critical habitat, winter-run chinook salmon (X) (NMFS)
 - winter-run chinook salmon, Sacramento River (E) (NMFS)

Amphibians

- *Rana aurora draytonii*
 - California red-legged frog (T)

Birds

- *Charadrius alexandrinus nivosus*
 - western snowy plover (T)
- *Diomedea albatrus*
 - short-tailed albatross (E)
- *Haliaeetus leucocephalus*
 - bald eagle (T)
- *Pelecanus occidentalis californicus*
 - California brown pelican (E)
- *Sternula antillarum* (= *Sterna*, = *albifrons*) *browni*
 - California least tern (E)

Mammals

- *Arctocephalus townsendi*
 - Guadalupe fur seal (T) (NMFS)
- *Balaenoptera borealis*
 - sei whale (E) (NMFS)
- *Balaenoptera musculus*
 - blue whale (E) (NMFS)
- *Balaenoptera physalus*
 - finback (=fin) whale (E) (NMFS)
- *Enhydra lutris nereis*
 - southern sea otter (T)
- *Eubalaena* (= *Balaena*) *glacialis*
 - right whale (E) (NMFS)
- *Eumetopias jubatus*
 - Critical Habitat, Steller (=northern) sea-lion (X) (NMFS)
 - Steller (=northern) sea-lion (T) (NMFS)
- *Physeter catodon* (= *macrocephalus*)
 - sperm whale (E) (NMFS)

Plants

- *Arctostaphylos bookeri* ssp. *ravenii*
 - Presidio (=Raven's) manzanita (E)
- *Clarkia franciscana*
 - Presidio clarkia (E)
- *Hesperolinon congestum*
 - Marin dwarf-flax (=western flax) (T)

- *Lessingia germanorum*
 - San Francisco lessingia (E)

CANDIDATE SPECIES

Invertebrates

- *Haliotes cracherodii*
 - black abalone (C) (NMFS)

Fish

- *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*
 - Central Valley fall/late fall-run chinook salmon (C) (NMFS)
 - Critical habitat, Central Valley fall/late fall-run chinook (C) (NMFS)

Quads Containing Listed, Proposed or Candidate Species:

SAN FRANCISCO NORTH (466C)
County Lists
San Francisco County

LISTED SPECIES

Invertebrates

- *Haliotes sorenseni*
 - white abalone (E) (NMFS)
- *Icaricia icarioides missionensis*
 - mission blue butterfly (E)
- *Incisalia mossii bayensis*
 - San Bruno elfin butterfly (E)

Fish

- *Acipenser medirostris*
 - green sturgeon (T) (NMFS)
- *Eucyclogobius newberryi*
 - tidewater goby (E)
- *Oncorhynchus kisutch*
 - coho salmon - central CA coast (E) (NMFS)
- *Oncorhynchus mykiss*
 - Central California Coastal steelhead (T) (NMFS)
 - Critical habitat, Central California coastal steelhead (X) (NMFS)
 - Critical habitat, Central Valley steelhead (X) (NMFS)
- *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*

- Critical habitat, winter-run chinook salmon (X) (NMFS)
- winter-run chinook salmon, Sacramento River (E) (NMFS)

Amphibians

- *Rana aurora draytonii*
 - California red-legged frog (T)

Reptiles

- *Caretta caretta*
 - loggerhead turtle (T) (NMFS)
- *Chelonia mydas (incl. agassizi)*
 - green turtle (T) (NMFS)
- *Dermochelys coriacea*
 - leatherback turtle (E) (NMFS)
- *Lepidochelys olivacea*
 - olive (=Pacific) ridley sea turtle (T) (NMFS)

Birds

- *Charadrius alexandrinus nivosus*
 - western snowy plover (T)
- *Diomedea albatrus*
 - short-tailed albatross (E)
- *Haliaeetus leucocephalus*
 - bald eagle (T)
- *Pelecanus occidentalis californicus*
 - California brown pelican (E)
- *Rallus longirostris obsoletus*
 - California clapper rail (E)

Mammals

- *Arctocephalus townsendi*
 - Guadalupe fur seal (T) (NMFS)
- *Balaenoptera borealis*
 - sei whale (E) (NMFS)
- *Balaenoptera musculus*
 - blue whale (E) (NMFS)
- *Balaenoptera physalus*
 - finback (=fin) whale (E) (NMFS)
- *Eubalaena (=Balaena) glacialis*
 - right whale (E) (NMFS)

- *Eumetopias jubatus*
 - Critical Habitat, Steller (=northern) sea-lion (X) (NMFS)
 - Steller (=northern) sea-lion (T) (NMFS)
- *Megaptera novaeangliae*
 - humpback whale (E) (NMFS)
- *Physeter catodon* (=macrocephalus)
 - sperm whale (E) (NMFS)
- *Reithrodontomys raviventris*
 - salt marsh harvest mouse (E)

Plants

- *Arctostaphylos bookeri* ssp. *ravenii*
 - Presidio (=Raven's) manzanita (E)
- *Clarkia franciscana*
 - Presidio clarkia (E)
- *Hesperolinon congestum*
 - Marin dwarf-flax (=western flax) (T)
- *Lessingia germanorum*
 - San Francisco lessingia (E)

CANDIDATE SPECIES

Invertebrates

- *Haliotes cracherodii*
 - black abalone (C) (NMFS)

Key:

- (E) Endangered - Listed as being in danger of extinction.
- (T) Threatened - Listed as likely to become endangered within the foreseeable future.
- (P) Proposed - Officially proposed in the Federal Register for listing as endangered or threatened.
- (NMFS) Species under the Jurisdiction of the [National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration Fisheries Service](#). Consult with them directly about these species.
- Critical Habitat - Area essential to the conservation of a species.
- (PX) Proposed Critical Habitat - The species is already listed. Critical habitat is being proposed for it.
- (C) Candidate - Candidate to become a proposed species.
- (V) Vacated by a court order. Not currently in effect. Being reviewed by the Service.
- (X) Critical Habitat designated for this species

Memorandum

*Flex your power!
Be energy efficient!*

To: File

Date: September 21, 2007

File: EA 163700
SF-101-PM 12.8/15.7
SF-1-PM 10.9/11.4

From: JEFFREY G. JENSEN *JGJ*
Office Chief
Office of Biological Sciences and Permits
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION – DISTRICT 4

Subject: Finding Of No Effect

The Natural Environment Study (NES), which was completed for the Doyle Drive Project and contained a biological report and assessment of Species of Concern, was approved by the San Francisco County Transportation Authority in 2004. The biological assessment of the NES determined that no effect would occur to any of the Species of Concern listed under the Federal or State Endangered Species Acts, or likely to become listed during the period of project implementation. Based on this assessment, it is expected that the project will have no effect on listed species.

Attachment: Natural Environment Study (July 2005)

CC: Cesar Perez (FHWA)

Caltrans CC: Jared Goldfine, Margaret Gabil, Richard Vonarb

"Caltrans improves mobility across California"

This page intentionally left blank

Copy: Dana McGowan



February 13, 2007

Leroy L. Saage
Project Manager, Doyle Drive
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
100 Van Ness Avenue 26th Floor
San Francisco, California 94102

Reference: Decisions Regarding Building 201, 204 and 228, the Presidio of San Francisco

Dear Mr. Saage:

We are in receipt of your January 9, 2007, letter asking for recommendations on the treatments of buildings 201, 204, and 228 which will be affected by the Doyle Drive replacement project. We have reviewed the relocation feasibility study and subsequently submitted cost estimates for these structures. We appreciate the San Francisco County Transportation Authority's willingness to "go the extra mile" in studying the important concern that was raised about these buildings by representatives of the Fort Point and Presidio Historical Association during the Section 106 (National Historic Preservation Act) consultations. Considering the history of sound guidance and strong support that the Presidio Trust has received from the Association, we have also devoted a considerable amount of thought to reviewing all factors that must go into our treatment decisions for these buildings.

Firstly, the Presidio Trust agrees with the study's recommendation that "building 228 remain at its current site, on its existing foundation at its existing grade." The study makes clear the fragility of the structure and presents sound analysis in weighing the benefits versus impact of raising or not raising the structure. We find that the study recommendation presents the least possible impact to this important historic structure.

We understand from the draft study that moving buildings 201 and 204 would be feasible at a significant rehabilitation cost upon relocation. The Presidio Trust must consider many factors in addition to historic preservation concerns when making a decision on the treatment of these buildings. Consistency with the Presidio Trust Management Plan, avoidance of additional possible impacts to other resource values (open space, view sheds, recreation, archaeology, habitat, etc.), compatibility with Trust infrastructure

- 1 -

planning, and other such important concerns must be weighed. Therefore, the Presidio Trust recommends moving building 201 as described in the Preferred Option on page 26 of the study with reinstallation of the top floor of the building in its original location (realizing the elevation will change due to the height of the tunnel) and alignment on Halleck Street. We do not, however, support relocating building 204 from its current site but rather recommend salvage of the building components to be used by the Presidio Trust in future repairs to other historic buildings.

The Presidio Trust Management Plan outlines the agency's desired future for the Crissy Field District. The plan describes building clusters to be rehabilitated interspersed by open space for expansive views. We find that the proposal to locate building 204 in this area is not consistent with the plan. The size, scale, massing, and construction style of building 204 are not compatible with any of the existing building clusters. We also find that building 204 would not be compatible with other Presidio Planning districts where new construction is anticipated. While the proposed relocation behind the Crissy Field Education Center would not present an adverse effect to the Landmark district, it would interrupt the "open space" connections and view shed enhancement planned for the area between the Main Parade Ground and the central Crissy Field. This situation would be exacerbated when the historic bluff has been moved north to accommodate the new Doyle Drive tunnel in this location.

We also find the proposed location to be problematic with regards to landscape, parking, utilities, and other infrastructure that would be required to support the building. Again, the Presidio Trust's plans anticipate centralizing infrastructure around the historic building clusters and reducing intrusion in open landscape area.

In general, relocating historic buildings, other than for resources of exceptional significance, is inconsistent with the Department of the Interior's guidelines implementing the National Register of Historic Places and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation's regulations implementing Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. All agencies involved in the Doyle Drive project discussed this subject at length early in the Section 106 consultation process. Moving historic buildings is an adverse effect under the Section 106 Regulations (36 CFR 800.5). Relocating a building to another location within an historic property can present a second adverse effect and, at a minimum, presents a false sense of history in an historic district. Further, a key criterion for inclusion of a building into the National Register of Historic Places states, "Except in rare cases, the relationship between a property and its historic associations is destroyed if the property is moved." The only exceptions allowed by the Register are buildings that represent the surviving property *most importantly associated* with a particular historic event or an important aspect of a historic person's life or buildings of exceptional architectural value. Neither exception is appropriate to building 204.

In the early Section 106 consultation discussions, all the agencies involved agreed that the historic buildings which would be impacted by the Doyle Drive project do not meet the significance level defined in the National Register's criteria for consideration of moved properties. Therefore, moving of historic buildings was only considered when

there was assurance that the building would be returned to its original location. In essence, once moved an historic structure would no longer qualify for the National Register and any decision to relocate the building would be for reasons other than historic preservation. This early decision remains valid as it represents the most appropriate historic preservation ethic an agency can demonstrate in a situation where loss of an historic structure is inevitable.

As you know, the Trust is mandated to manage Area B in accordance with the General Objectives of the General Management Plan approved for the Presidio. One of these objectives is to "preserve and (where appropriate) enhance the historical, cultural, natural, recreational, and scenic resources of the Presidio." Actions taken in accordance with the forgoing discussion will best serve that objective.

If you have any questions regarding this decision, please contact Federal Preservation Officer Ric Borjes at 561-5475 or by electronic mail at rborjes@presidiotrust.gov.

Sincerely,



Michael Boland
Chief Planning, Projects and Programs Officer

cc:

Gary Widman, FPPHA
Dana McGowan, Jones and Stokes

This page intentionally left blank