

LIST OF EXHIBITS

<u>Exhibit</u>		<u>Page</u>
1-1	Regional Context of Doyle Drive	1-2
1-2	Doyle Drive and the Presidio	1-5
1-3	Need for this Project	1-10
1-4	Main Doyle Drive Structures	1-10
1-5	Location of Doyle Drive Viaducts and other Key Features	1-11
1-6	Current and Projected Presidio Users	1-13
1-7	State of California Transportation Funding Programs	1-17
1-8	Proposed and Committed Funding Sources and Levels (\$ in millions)	1-21
2-1	Doyle Drive Project Area and Potential Construction Limits	2-2
2-2	Evaluation Criteria Used to Identify Alternatives	2-3
2-3	Evaluation Process Flowchart	2-5
2-4	Example of Context Sensitive Design/Solutions and the Doyle Drive Project	2-7
2-5	Retrofit without Widening	2-11
2-6	Retrofit with Widening Alternative	2-12
2-7	Transit Exclusive Alternative	2-13
2-8	Veterans Boulevard (Highway 1) Alternative	2-14
2-9	Doyle Drive Boulevard Alternative	2-15
2-10	Lombard to Lincoln Alternative	2-16
2-11	North of Corridor Alternative	2-17
2-12	In Corridor – Phased Construction Alternative: Elevated	2-18
2-13	In Corridor – Phased Construction Alternative: At-Grade	2-19
2-14	In Corridor – Phased Construction Alternative: Depressed	2-20
2-15	In Corridor – Phased Construction Alternative: Tunnel	2-21
2-16	In Corridor – Detour Construction Alternative: Elevated	2-22
2-17	In Corridor – Detour Construction Alternative: At-Grade	2-23
2-18	In Corridor – Detour Construction Alternative: Depressed	2-24
2-19	In Corridor – Detour Construction Alternative: Tunnel	2-25
2-20	Access Options Considered	2-26
2-21	Comparison of Construction Costs (in 2005 dollars)	2-31
2-22	Alternative 1: No-Build	2-35
2-23	Alternative 1, No-Build: Plan	2-37
2-24	Alternative 1, No-Build: Typical Cross Section	2-39
2-25	Alternative 2: Replace and Widen	2-42
2-26	Alternative 2, Replace and Widen: Plan	2-43
2-27	Alternative 2, Replace and Widen: Cross Section	2-45
2-28	Alternative 5: Presidio Parkway	2-48
2-29	Alternative 5, Presidio Parkway: Plan	2-49
2-30	Alternative 5, Presidio Parkway: Cross Section	2-51
2-31	Preferred Alternative: Refined Presidio Parkway	2-59
2-32	Preferred Alternative: Refined Presidio Parkway: Plan	2-61

<u>Exhibit</u>		<u>Page</u>
2-33	Preferred Alternative, Refined Presidio Parkway: Cross Section	2-63
2-34	Alternative 5: Presidio Parkway – 1.2m High Tide Criteria	2-65
2-35	Alternative 5: Presidio Parkway – 3.4m Tsunami Criteria	2-66
2-36	Preferred Alternative – 3.4m Tsunami Criteria	2-67
2-37	Comparison of Alternatives: Earthwork/Excavation	2-70
2-38	Estimated Construction Costs of Project Alternatives (in year of expenditure dollars)	2-71
2-39	Alternative 2, Replace and Widen – No Detour: Construction Staging Plan	2-73
2-40	Alternative 2, Replace and Widen – With Detour: Construction Staging Plan	2-75
2-41	Alternative 5, Presidio Parkway Construction Staging Plan	2-77
2-42	Short-Term Roadway Closures During Construction : Low Traffic Volume Hours	2-83
2-43	Potential Long-Term Roadway Closures	2-84
2-44	Construction Staging Areas – Preferred Alternative	2-85
2-45	Construction Duration – Preferred Alternative	2-86
2-46	Preferred Alternative Construction Staging – Stage One, Phase One and Two	2-87
2-47	Preferred Alternative Construction Staging – Stage One Transition, Full Weekend Closure	2-89
2-48	Preferred Alternative Construction Staging – Stage Two	2-91
2-49	Preferred Alternative Construction Staging – Stage Two Transition, Full Weekend Closure	2-93
2-50	Preferred Alternative Construction Staging – Stage Three	2-95
2-51	Short-Term Roadway Closures During Construction: Low Traffic Volume Hours	2-103
2-52	Long-Term Roadway Closures	2-103
3-1	Environmental and Community Resources	3-2
3-2	Presidio Planning Areas	3-4
3-3	Existing Land Use	3-5
3-4	No-Build Alternative – Area Available for Marsh Restoration	3-16
3-5	Replace and Widen Alternative – No-Detour Option – Area Available for Marsh Restoration	3-20
3-6	Presidio Parkway Alternative – Area Available for Marsh Restoration	3-27
3-7	Preferred Alternative – Area Available for Marsh Restoration	3-32
3-8	Recreational Features in the Presidio	3-36
3-9	Census Tract Study Area	3-44
3-10	Potential Project Construction Employment	3-51
3-11	Employees Permanently Displaced	3-56
3-12	Employees Permanently Displaced by Alternative	3-57
3-13	Parking Study Areas	3-60
3-14	Existing Parking Conditions (Year 2006)	3-61
3-15	Temporary Parking Impacts (Year 2010)	3-62

<u>Exhibit</u>		<u>Page</u>
3-16	Permanent Parking Impacts (Year 2030)	3-63
3-17	Buildings Temporarily Removed and Returned or Permanently Removed by Alternative	3-67
3-18	Population and Race Characteristics 2000	3-71
3-19	Poverty Status 2000	3-72
3-20	Level of Service Criteria	3-77
3-21	Intersection Level of Service for Existing Conditions	3-80
3-22	Peak Hour Highway Segment Level of Service of Existing Conditions	3-81
3-23	Peak Hour Urban Street Segment Level of Service for Existing Condition	3-81
3-24	Weaving Segment Level of Service for Existing Condition	3-82
3-25	AM Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service Results by Alternative	3-92
3-26	PM Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service Results by Alternative	3-93
3-27	Weekend Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service Results by Alternative	3-95
3-28	Highway Segment Level of Service – AM Peak Hour	3-98
3-29	Highway Segment Level of Service – PM Peak Hour	3-99
3-30	Highway Segment Level of Service – Weekend Peak Hour	3-100
3-31	Urban Street Segment Level of Service – AM Peak Hour	3-101
3-32	Urban Street Segment Level of Service – PM Peak Hour	3-101
3-33	Urban Street Segment Level of Service – Weekend Peak Hour	3-102
3-34	Weaving Analysis	3-103
3-35	Urban Street Segment Level of Service – Preferred Alternative AM Condition	3-106
3-36	Urban Street Segment Level of Service – Preferred Alternative PM Condition	3-107
3-37	Additional AM Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service Results by Alternative	3-108
3-38	Additional PM Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service Results by Alternative	3-109
3-39	Summary of Effects on Visual Quality by Viewpoint	3-120
3-40	General Location of Viewpoints	3-121
3-41	Viewpoint 2: Richardson Avenue at Bay Street	3-124
3-42	Viewpoint 6: Mason Street East	3-125
3-43	Viewpoint 14: Halleck Northwest	3-126
3-44	Viewpoint 15: Girard Road	3-127
3-45	Viewpoint 17: Calvary Stables West	3-128
3-46	Doyle Drive Project Area of Potential Effect (APE)	3-133
3-47	Tennessee Hollow Watershed	3-155
3-48	Main Post Tunnel	3-165
3-49	Geologic Cross-Section Showing Groundwater Flow Conditions in the Vicinity of the Bluffs	3-167
3-50	General Location of Topographic Features	3-173
3-51	General Location of Project Stations	3-176
3-52	Potential Areas of Soil Liquefaction	3-176

<u>Exhibit</u>		<u>Page</u>
3-53	Summary of Disturbance of Native Soil and Rock	3-178
3-54	Hazardous Material Sites within the Study Area	3-184
3-55	Summary of Monitoring Data for San Francisco (Arkansas Street Monitoring Station), 2000-2003	3-193
3-56	Estimated Vehicle Miles Traveled at Peak Times	3-201
3-57	Everyday Noise Levels	3-205
3-58	Activity Categories and Noise Abatement Criteria	3-205
3-59	Long-Term Noise Measurements	3-208
3-60	Short-Term Noise Measurements for Selected Areas	3-209
3-61	Long-Term Monitoring Locations, 2002	3-210
3-62	Short-Term Noise Measurement Locations, 2004	3-211
3-63	Noise Receptor Predictor Locations	3-212
3-64	Typical Noise Levels of Construction Equipment	3-213
3-65	Predicted Traffic Noise Levels During the Temporary Construction Detour (TCD) Phase	3-215
3-66	Predicted Traffic Noise Levels	3-219
3-67	Alternative 2 – Predicted Traffic Noise Levels Receptors that will Approach, Equal, or Exceed NAC	3-224
3-68	Alternative 5 (Diamond Option) – Predicted Traffic Noise Levels Receptors that will Approach, Equal, or Exceed NAC	3-226
3-69	Predicted Traffic Noise Levels during operation of Preferred Alternative (PA)	3-231
3-70	Noise Barrier Preliminary Reasonableness Determination	3-237
3-71	Effects of Continuous Vibration on People and Buildings	3-240
3-72	Measurement Locations Descriptions	3-241
3-73	Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment	3-243
3-74	Existing and Future AM and PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes on Doyle Drive	3-245
3-75	Energy Consumption Rates	3-249
3-76	Estimates of Annual Indirect Energy Consumption in Year 2030	3-250
3-77	Estimates of Annual Direct Energy Consumption in Year 2030	3-253
3-78	Existing Plant Communities in Project Study Area and Doyle Drive Construction Corridor	3-260
3-79	Native Vegetation	3-261
3-80	Direct Temporary Impacts to Plant Communities other than Wetlands	3-267
3-81	Direct Permanent Impacts to Plant Communities other than Wetlands	3-270
3-82	Water-Associated Features	3-277
3-83	Summary of USACE Jurisdictional Waters of the U.S. and NPS/Trust Cowardin Wetlands in the Project Study Area	3-279
3-84	Temporary Wetland Impacts in the Doyle Drive Construction Corridor by Alternative	3-281
3-85	Permanent Wetland Impacts by Alternative	3-284
3-86	Special-Status Species in the Doyle Drive Project Study Area	3-290
3-87	Special Status Plants	3-291
3-88	Non-Native Introduced Forest and Ornamental Wildlife Habitat	3-299

<u>Exhibit</u>		<u>Page</u>
3-89	Common Bird Species in the Presidio	3-301
3-90	Common Mammals Observed or Likely to be in the Presidio	3-302
4-1	Determination of the Level of Significance for Environmental Impacts of the Doyle Drive Project under CEQA	4-3
5-1	Summary of Cumulative Effects	5-24
6-1	Invited Members: CAC Subcommittee	6-4
6-2	Invited Members: Agency Working Group	6-4
6-3	Ohlone Outreach Activities	6-7
6-4	Listing of Public Outreach Meetings	6-10
6-5	Number of Comments by Subject/Resource Area	6-13
6-6	Summary of Public Comments by Project Alternatives	6-14
7-1	Doyle Drive Project Location Map	7-4
7-2	Section 4(f) Resources	7-13
7-3	Trails and Bicycle Connections in the Presidio	7-16
7-4	Presidio NHLD Contributing Buildings, Structures, and Objects within the Doyle Drive Corridor	7-18
7-5	Building 670	7-19
7-6	Mason Street Warehouses	7-19
7-7	Main Post, Vicinity of Halleck Street, Building 201	7-20
7-8	Main Post, Vicinity of Halleck Street, Building 204	7-20
7-9	Main Post, Vicinity of Halleck Street, Building 228	7-20
7-10	Main Post, Vicinity of Halleck Street, Building 230	7-21
7-11	Buildings 1151 and 1152	7-21
7-12	Halleck Street	7-23
7-13	Presidio Viaduct	7-24
7-14	Marina Viaduct	7-24
7-15	The Marina Green	7-27
7-16	Land Required for Permanent Right-of-Way for Project Alternatives	7-28
7-17	Trail and Bikeway Connections	7-30
7-18	Use of Building 1151 with Presidio Parkway Alternative – Circle Drive Option	7-31
7-19	Actions Causing Section 4(f) Use of NHLD Resources	7-33
7-20	Temporary Use of Buildings 1182-1185 with Replace and Widen Alternative – No Detour Option	7-36
7-21	Use of Building 201 with Presidio Parkway Alternative	7-37
7-22	Use of Building 204 with Presidio Parkway Alternative	7-37
7-23	Use of Building 230 with Presidio Parkway Alternative	7-37
7-24	Use of Building 670 with Presidio Parkway Alternative	7-37
7-25	Use of Building 201 with Preferred Alternative	7-41
7-26	Use of Building 204 with Preferred Alternative	7-41
7-27	Use of Building 230 with Preferred Alternative	7-41



7-28 Use of Building 670 with Preferred Alternative

7-41

